

Fixed point Theorem in Intuitionistic Fuzzy metric space

M.S. Chauhan¹ Dheeraj Aheere² Bharat Singh³

Asst. Prof. Rajabhoj Govt. College, Mandideep Dist. , Raisen (M. P.) India¹ Research Scholar, Vikram University, Ujjain (M. P) India² Reader, SOC & *E IPS Academy Indore (M. P.) India*³

ABSTRACT: In this paper ,we generalize fuzzy metric space in term of fixed point theorem in modified Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space using weakly compatible mapping along with property (CLR_S) and (CLR_T).

Keywords: fixed point, intuitionistic fuzzy metric, implicit relation, compatibility.

I. INTRODUCTION

Aanassov[2] Introduction in studied the concept of Intuitionistic fuzzy set as a noted generalization of fuzzy set which has inspired intense research activity around this newly introduced notion. Recently Park[7] using the idea of Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Defined Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces as a generalization of fuzzy metric spaces due to George and Veeramani[4] and also proved some basic results which include Baire's theorem and uniform limit theorem besides some other core results. Afterthat ,Saadati and Park[8] defined precompact sets in Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and proved that any subset of an Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is compact if and only if it is precompact and complete. They also defined topologically complete Intuitionistic fuzzy metricable space and proved that any G_{δ} set in a complete Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is a topologically complete Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space due to Kramosi and Michalek [6] and defined a Hansdorff topology on modified fuzzy metric space which often used In current researches. Grabiee [5] extended classical fixed point theorems of Banach and Edelstein to complete and compact fuzzy metric spaces respectively.

The theory of fuzzy sets was initiated by Zadeh [13]. In the last four decades, like all other aspects of Mathematics various authors have introduced the concept of fuzzy metric in several ways.

II. PREMILIRIES

Definition 2.1.[10] A binary operation $*: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a t –norm if ([0,

1], *) is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$ for a, b, c, $d \in [0, 1]$. Examples of t-norms are a * b = ab and $a * b = min\{a, b\}$

- Lemma 2.2. [3] Consider the set L* and operation \leq_L * defined by L*= { $(x_1, x_2): (x_1, x_2) \in [0,1]^2$ and $x_1+x_2 \leq 1$ }, $(x_1,x_2) \leq L^* (y_1,y_2) \Leftrightarrow x_1 \leq y_1$ and $x_2 \geq y_2 - v(x_1,x_2), (y_1,y_2) \in L^*$. Then $(L^* \leq_{L^*})$ is a complete lattice. Example 2.3. [3] A triangular norm(t-norm) on L* is a mapping T:L*×L* → L* satisfying the following conditions: (i) T(x,1_L*)=x, (ii) T(x,y)=T(y,x),
 - (iii) T(x,T(y,z))=T(T(x,y),z),
 - $x \leq_L^* x$ and $y \leq_L^* y \rightarrow T(x,y) \leq_L^* T(x',y')$,



for all x,y ,z,x' and $y' \in L^*$.

Definition2.4 . [3] A co	ntinuous t-norm T on L [*] is called continuous t-representable if and only if there exist a continuous t-norm * and a continuous t-conorm \diamond on [0,1] such that, for all $x=(x_1,x_2),y=(y_1,y_2)\diamond L^*$, $T(x, y)=(x_1*y_1,x_2\diamond y_2)$. Now we define a sequence $\{T^n\}$ recursively by $\{T^1=T\}$ and $T(x^{(1)},,x^{(n+1)})=T(T^{n-1}(x^{(1)},,x^{(n)},x^{(n+1)}))$ for $n\geq 2$ and $x^{(i)}\in L^*$.	
Definition2.5 [9] Let M,N		
Example 2.6	Let (X,d) be a mertic space.Denote $T(a,b)=(a_1b_1,\min\{a_2+b_2,1\})$ for all $a=(a_1,a_2)$ and $b=(b_1,b_2)\in L^*$ and let M and N be fuzzy sets on $X^2\times(0,\infty)$. Then an intuitionistic fuzzy metric can be defined as:	
$\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{M,N}}(x,y,t) = (\mathbf{M}(x,y,t), \mathbf{N}(x,y,t)) = \left(\frac{ht^n}{ht^n + md(x,y)}, \frac{md(x,y)}{ht^n + md(x,y)}\right), \text{ for all } \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ so that } \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{M,N}}, \mathbf{T}) \text{ is a modified IFMS.}$		
Definition 2.7 [3]	A negator on L [*] is a decreasing mapping N:L [*] →L [*] satisfying N (0 _L [*])=1 _L [*] and N(1 _L [*])=0 _L [*] . A negator on [0,1] is a decreasing mapping N:[0,1]→[0,1] satisfying N(o)=1and N(1)=0.In what follows,N _s denotes the standard negator on [0,1] defined as N _s (x)=1-x for all x \in [0,1].	
Definition 2.8 Let (X,	$M_{M,N}$,T) be a modified IFMS. For t>0, define the open ball $B(x,r,t)$ with centre $x \in X$ and radius $0 < r < 1$, as $B(x,r,t) = \{y \in X: M_{M,N}(x,y,t) >_L^* (N_s(r),r)\}$. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called open if for each $x \in A$,there exist t>0 and $0 < r < 1$ such that $B(x, r, t) \subset A$. Let $TM_{M,N}$ denote family of all open subset of X. Then $TM_{M,N}$ is called the topology induced by modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric $M_{M,N}$.	
Definition 2.9	A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a modified IFMS $(X, M_{M,N}, T)$ is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $0 \le <1$ and $t>0$, there exist $n_0 \in N'$ such that $M_{M,N}(x_n \ y_m, t) > L^*(N_s(\in), \in)$ and for each $n, m \ge n_0$ where N_s is the standard negator. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent to $x \in X$ in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M_{M,N}, T)$ and is generally denoted by $x_n \rightarrow M_{M,N} \times \text{if } M_{M,N}(x_n, x, t) \rightarrow 1_L^*$ whenever $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $t>0$. An IFMS is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence convergent.	
Lemma2.10 [9]	Let $M_{M,N}$ be an intuitionistic ruzzy metric. Then for any t>0. $M_{M,N}(x,y,t)$ is non-decreasing with respect to t, in $(L^* \leq_L^*)$, for all $x, y \in X$.	



Lemma2.11 [9]	Let $(X, M_{M,N},T)$ be a modified IFMS. Then $M_{M,N}$ is a continuous function on $X \times X \times (0,\infty)$.
Definition2.12	Let f and g be mapping from a modified IFMS $(X, M_{M,N}, T)$ into itself. Then the mapping are said to be compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_{M,N}(fgx_n, gfx_n, t)=1_L^*$, for all t>0 Whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that , $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n=\lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n=x \in X$
Definition2.13	Let f and g be mapping from a modified IFMS $(X, M_{M,N}, T)$ into itself. Then the mapping are said to noncomptible there exist at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = x \in X$ but $\lim_{n\to\infty} \infty M_{M,N}(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) \neq 1_L^*$ or nonexistent for at least one t>0.
Definition2.14	Let f and g be self mappings of a nonempty set X. Then the mappings are said to be weak compatible if they commute at their coincidence point. i.e. $fx=gx$ implies $fgx=gfx$.
Definition2.15	Let f and g be two self mappings of a modified IFMS $(X,M_{M,N},T)$. We say that f and g have the property (E.A.) if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that for all t>0 $m_n \rightarrow \infty M_{M,N}(fx_n,u,t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_{M,N}(gx_n,u,t) = 1_L^*$, for some $u \in X$ and t>0.
Definition2.16 [14] Two	mapping $f: X \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ are said to satisfy property (CLRg) if there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \infty f(x_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \infty g(x_n) = g(p)$, for some p in X. Similarly, we can have the property CLR _T and the property CLR _S if in the definition (2.16) the mapping $g: X \to X$ has been replaced by the mapping $T: X \to X$ and $S: X \to X$ respectively.
Example2.17	Let $(X, M_{M,N}, T)$ be a modified IFMS. where $x=R$ and $M_{M,N}(x,y,t)=(\frac{t}{t+ x-y }, \frac{ x-y }{t+ x-y })$ for all t>0 and $x, y \in X$. Define self-mappings f and g on X as follows: fx=2x+1 and gx=x+2. Consider the sequence $\{x_n=1+1/n, n=1,2,\}$. Thus we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_{M,N}(fx_n,3, t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_{M,N}(gx_n,3, t) = 1_L^*$, for every t>0 Then f and g share the property (E.A.).
Definition2.18	Two pairs (f,S) and (g,T) of self mappings of a modified IFMS (X ,M _{M,N} ,T) are said to satisfy the common property (E.A.) if there exist two sequence {x _n } and {y _n } in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_{M,N}(fx_n,u,t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_{M,N}(Sx_n,u,t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_{M,N}(gy_n,u,t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_{M,N}(Ty_n,u,t) = 1_L^*, \text{for some } u \in X \text{ and } t>0.$
Implicit Relatoins 2.19	Let $\phi:[0,1]^4 \rightarrow [0,1]^4$ be continuous and non increasing in second ,third and fourth argument respectively and $\Phi(u,v,v,v) \ge_L^* 0$, implies that $u \ge v$.
Example2.20	Define $\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$: $(L^*)^4 \rightarrow L^*$ as $\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = t$ - a min $\{t_2, t_3, t_4\}$. Where a>1.

III. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1 Let F,G,S and T be four self-mapping of a fuzzy metric space($X,M_{M,N},T$) satisfying the following conditions: (i) Let F,G,S and T,be self- mapping of a modified IFMS ($X, M_{M,N}, t$) for all natural number 'r' the condition:



$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fx, Gy, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(Gy, Ty, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Gy, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Ty, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Sx, Ty, t), M_{M,N}(Fx, Ty, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0$$

(II) $F(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and the pair (F, S) satisfies CLR_S property.

(iii) $G(X) \subseteq S(X)$ and the pair (G,T) satisfies CLR_T property.

(iv) S(x) and T(x) are closed subsets of X.

The pairs (F, S) and (G, T) have a coincidence point, more over F, G, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X. Provided the pair (F, S) and (G, T) are weakly compatible.

Proof: The pair (*F*, *S*) satisfy *CLR*_S property therefore

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n \to \infty} Fx_n &= \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = Sx \\ F(X) &\subseteq T(X) \text{ therefore a sequence } \{y_n\} \text{ in } X \text{ such that } \lim_{n \to \infty} Fx_n &= \lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = Sx \\ \text{Now we show that } \lim_{n \to \infty} Gy_n &= Sx.\text{Now let } \lim_{n \to \infty} Gy_n &= z \text{ .We have} \\ \emptyset \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fx, Gy, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(Gy, Ty, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Gy, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Ty, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Sx, Ty, t), M_{M,N}(Fx, Ty, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0 \\ \emptyset \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fx_n, Gy_n, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(Gyn, Tyn, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Gy_n, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Ty_n, t)\right) \\ M_{M,N}(Sx, z, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(z, z, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, z, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Sx, t)\right) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0 \\ \emptyset \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Sx, z, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(z, z, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, z, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Sx, t)\right) \\ M_{M,N}(Sx, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Sx, Sx, t), 1\right) \\ 1, 1 \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0 \end{split}$$

 $\phi(M_{M,N}(Sx, z, kt), M_{M,N}(Sx, z, t)1, 1) \ge L * 0$ and fourth argument therefore

Since $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is non increasing in third and fourth argument therefore

$$\emptyset\left(M_{M,N}(Sx,z,kt), M_{M,N}(Sx,z,t)M_{M,N}(Sx,z,t), M_{M,N}(Sx,z,t)\right) \ge_{L^*} 0$$

relation

Therefore by implicit relatio

$$M_{M,N}(Sx, z, kt) \ge M_{M,N}(Sx, z, t)$$

Hence

Sx = z As S(x) is a closed subset of X. $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z \in S(X)$ there exist a, $u \in X$ such that Su = z put x= u and y=y_n in (i)

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fu, Gy_n, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(Gy_n, Ty_n, t), M_{M,N}(Su, Gy, t), M_{M,N}(Su, Ty_n, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Su, Ty_n, t), M_{M,N}(Fu, Ty_n, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0$$

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fu, z, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(z, z, t), M_{M,N}(z, z, t), M_{M,N}(z, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(z, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fu, z, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0$$

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fu, z, kt), \min(r + 1, 1, 1), 1, M_{M,N}(Fu, z, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0$$

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fu, z, kt), \min(r + 1, 1, 1), 1, M_{M,N}(Fu, z, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0$$

Since ϕ is non increasing in third and fourth argument therefore

 $\emptyset\left(M_{M,N}(Fu,z,kt),M_{M,N}(Fu,z,t),M_{M,N}(Fu,z,t),M_{M,N}(Fu,z,t)\right) \ge_{L^*} 0$

Therefore by implicit relation

$$M_{M,N}(Fu, z, kt) \ge M_{M,N}(Fu, z, t)$$

hence

Copyright to IJIRSET

www.ijirset.com



Fu=z=su

Since T(X) is a closed subset of X. $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = z$ there exist a, $w \in X$ such that Tw=z. Now we claim that $M_{M,N}(Gw, z, t) = 1$. Put $x = x_n$ and y = w in (i)

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fx_n, Gw, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(Gw, Tw, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Gw, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Tw, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Tw, t), M_{M,N}(Fx_n, Tw, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0$$

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(z, Gw, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(Gw, z, t), M_{M,N}(z, Gw, t), M_{M,N}(z, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(z, z, t) & M_{M,N}(z, z, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0$$

$$\emptyset (M_{M,N}(z, Gw, kt), \min(r + M_{M,N}(Gw, z, t), M_{M,N}(z, Gw, t)1), 1, 1) \ge_{L^*} 0$$

$$\emptyset (M_{M,N}(z, Gw, kt), M_{M,N}(Gw, z, t), 1, 1) \ge L * 0$$

Since ϕ is non increasing in third and fourth argument therefore $\emptyset\left(M_{M,N}(z, Gw, kt), M_{M,N}(Gw, z, t), M_{M,N}(Gw, z, t), M_{M,N}(Gw, z, t)\right) \ge_{L^*} 0$ Therefore by implicit relation we have

$$M_{M,N}(z, Gw, kt) \ge M_{M,N}(Gw, z, t)$$

hence

z = Gw. Since Fu=Su and (F, S) is weakly compatible Fz = FSu = SFu = SzWe assert that $M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t) = 1$.Put x = z and y = w in (i)

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fz, Gw, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(Gw, Tw, t), M_{M,N}(Sz, Gw, t), M_{M,N}(Sz, Tw, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Sz, Tw, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, Tw, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0$$

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(z, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0$$

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, kt), \min\left(r + 1, M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Fz, z, t), \\ M_{M$$

 $\emptyset \left(M_{M,N}(Fz,z,kt), M_{M,N}(Fz,z,t), M_{M,N}(Fz,z,t), M_{M,N}(Fz,z,t) \right) \ge_{L^*} 0$ Therefore $M_{M,N}(Fz,z,kt) \ge M_{M,N}(Fz,z,t)$

Fz=z Also Gw=Tw and the pair (G,T) is weakly compatible therefore Gz = GTw = TGw = Tz. . We show that z is the common fixed point of the pair pair (G,T). We assert that $M_{M,N}(Gz,z,t) = 1$.

Hence z is the common fixed point.

Copyright to IJIRSET

0



Theorem 3.2 Let f,g,S and T be self mappings of a modified IFMS (X,M,T) satisfying the conditions(i-iv) of theorem 3.1.suppose that

(v) S(X) (orT(X)) is a closed subset of X.

Then the pairs (f,S) and (g,T) have a coincidence point. Moreover, f,g,S and T have a unique common fixed point in X provided that the pairs (f,S) and (g,T) are weakly compatible.

Proof:

The pair (F, S) satisfy CLR_S property therefore

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} Fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = Sx$ $F(X) \subseteq T(X)$ therefore a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = Sx$

Now we show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Gy_n = Sx$. Now let $\lim_{n\to\infty} Gy_n = z$. We have

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fx,Gy,kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(Gy,Ty,t), M_{M,N}(Sx,Gy,t), M_{M,N}(Sx,Ty,t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Sx,Ty,t), M_{M,N}(Fx,Ty,t) \end{pmatrix} \geq_{L^*} 0$$

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fx_{n,}Gy_{n,}kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(Gyn, Tyn_{,}t), M_{M,N}(Sx_{n,}Gy_{n,}t), M_{M,N}(Sx_{n,}Ty_{n,}t)\right) \\ , M_{M,N}(Sx_{n,}Ty_{n,}t), M_{M,N}(Fx_{n,}Ty_{n,}t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^{*}} 0 \\ \phi \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Sx, z, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(z, z, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, z, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Sx, t)\right) \\ , M_{M,N}(Sx, Sx, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Sx, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Sx, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^{*}} 0$$

$$\phi(M_{M,N}(Sx, z, kt), min(r+1, M_{M,N}(Sx, z, t), 1), 1) \ge_{L^*} 0$$

$$\emptyset(M_{M,N}(Sx,z,kt),M_{M,N}(Sx,z,t)1,1) \ge L * 0$$

Since ϕ is non increasing in third and fourth argument therefore

$$\emptyset\left(M_{M,N}(Sx,z,kt),M_{M,N}(Sx,z,t)M_{M,N}(Sx,z,t),M_{M,N}(Sx,z,t)\right) \ge_{L^*} 0$$

Therefore by implicit relation

$$M_{M,N}(Sx, z, kt) \ge M_{M,N}(Sx, z, t)$$

Sx = z

Hence

As S(x) is a closed subset of X. Therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \mathbf{z}$$

As S(X) is a closed subset of X. Then show that the pairs(f,S) has a coincidence point say u,i.e. fu=Su. Since f(X) and $f(X) \subset T(X)$, there exists $w \in X$ such that fu=Tw. Now we assert that $M_{M,N}(gw,z,t)=1$. If not then using inequality (i), we have

$$\emptyset \begin{pmatrix} M_{M,N}(Fx_n, Gw, kt), \min\left(r + M_{M,N}(Gw, Tw, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Gw, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Tw, t)\right), \\ M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Tw, t), M_{M,N}(Fx_n, Tw, t) \end{pmatrix} \ge_{L^*} 0$$

making $n \rightarrow \infty$, reduces to

 \emptyset (M_{M,N}(z,Gw,t),1,1,M_{M,N}(Gw,z,t),) $\geq_{L}^{*}0$

This implies

$$M_{M,N}(z, Gw, kt) \ge M_{M,N}(z, Gw, t)$$

Copyright to IJIRSET

www.ijirset.com



Therefore w is a coincidence point of the pair(G,T).

Example 3.3 Let (X, M_{MN}, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, where in $(0,128), T(a,b) = \{(a_1b_1, min\{a_1+b_2, 1\}) \text{ for all } a = (a_1,a_2) \text{ and } b = (b_1,b_2) \in L^* \text{ with } b = (b_1,b_2)$ $M_{M,N}(x,y,t) = \left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|}, \frac{|x-y|}{t+|x-y|}\right), \text{ define self mappings f, g, S and T on X by}$ $f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x4 - 1 \text{ if } 1 \le x \le 2\\ 7, \text{ if otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } g(x) = \begin{cases} 2x6 - 1 \text{ if } 1 \le x \le 2\\ 3, \text{ if otherwise} \end{cases}$

 $S(x) = \begin{cases} x2 \quad \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 2 \\ 2 \quad \text{if otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } T(x) = \begin{cases} x3 \quad \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 2 \\ 4 \quad \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$ Define F(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)=t_1. $\Psi[\min\{t_2,t_3,t_4\}]$, where $\Psi(s) >_L^* s$ for all $s \in L^* \setminus \{0,1\}$ and $F \in \Psi$.

Now, for all x, $y \in X$ and t>0, we have

 $\Psi[\{M_{M,N}(Sx,Ty,t),M_{M,N}(fx,Sx,t),M_{M,N}(gy,Ty,t),$

 $M_{M,N}(Sx, gy, t), M_{M,N}(fx, Ty, t)\}] \leq_L^* M_{M,N}(fx, gy, t).$

Which demonstrates the verification of the esteemed implicit function.

REFERENCES

- J.Ali and M Imdad. An implicit function implies several construction conditions, Sarajevo J. Math. 17(4) (2008), 269-285. 1
- K. T. Atanassov. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 20 (1986), 87-96. 2.
- 3. G. Deschrijver and E. E. Kerre. On therelationship between some extensions of fuzzy set theory. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 133 (2003), 227-235.
- A George, P. Veeramani, On Some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994), 395-399. 4
- 5. M. Grabee, Fix Points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 27 (1988), 385-389.
- O. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy Metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetica, 11 (1975), 326-334. 6.
- 7. J. H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 22 (2004), 1039-1046.
- R. Saadati and J.H. Park, On the Intuitionistic topological spaces, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 27 (2006), 331-344. 8
- 9. R. Saadati, S. Sedghi N. Shobe, Modify Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and some fix point theorems, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 38 (2008), 36-47
- 10. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Probabilistic Mertic Spaces, North Holland Series in probability and Applied Maths, Amsterdam, Vol. 5, (1983).
- W. Sintunavarat, P. Kuman, Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, Journal of 11. Applied mathematics, Vol. 2011 (2011), Article ID 637958, 14 pages.
- 12. D. Turkoglu, C. Alaca, Y. J. Cho and C. Yildiz, Common fix point theorems Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, J. Appl. Math. Computing, 22 (2006), 411-424.
- 13. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.
 - 14. Manish Jain and Sanjay Kumar, A Common Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Space using the Property (CLR_e), T_{HAI} JOURNAL OF MAHEMATICS VOLUME X (20XX) NUMBER X:XX-XX.

X-